



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,950	08/29/2000	Mark T. Gross	5038-41	8973
20575	7590 09/05/2003			
MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC			EXAMINER	
	W MORRISON STREET AND, OR 97205		JOHNSON, MARLON B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	6
			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/650,950	GROSS, MARK T.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marlon Johnson	2153				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a				
	<u>PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learned on the context of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappi	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	SORY PATENT EXAMINER				
. Patent and Trademark Office	TECH	NOLOGY CENTER 2100				
reconding empo						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application No. 09/650,950

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The scope of the amended claims has changed from including configuration instructions in a file that is attached to an e-mail message, to the configuration instructions being contained directly in the e-mail message, without being attached. Furthermorethe examiner has upheld the objection to the specification as a means for strongly recommending that the applicant(s) follow the specification of a utility application, as set forth in the MPEP, 608.01(a).